

US008600789B1

### (12) United States Patent

#### Frew et al.

# (54) SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR PROCESSING OFFENDING ITEMS IN A FINANCIAL SYSTEM

(75) Inventors: David Todd Frew, Fort Mill, SC (US);

Gregory Clarence Brown, Tampa, FL (US); Melinda Karen Petty, Ennis, TX (US); George Miller Abernethy,

Charlotte, NC (US); Leslie Marie Doby, Matthews, NC (US); Pamela Rowland, Huntersville, NC (US); Zhongmin Agarwal, Charlotte, NC (US)

(73) Assignee: Bank of America Corporation,

Charlotte, NC (US)

(\*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this

patent is extended or adjusted under 35

U.S.C. 154(b) by 619 days.

(21) Appl. No.: 12/362,833

(22) Filed: Jan. 30, 2009

#### Related U.S. Application Data

- (63) Continuation-in-part of application No. 11/555,444, filed on Nov. 1, 2006.
- (51) **Int. Cl. G06Q 10/00** (2012.01) **G06Q 40/00** (2012.01)

#### (56) References Cited

#### U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

6,295,522	B1*	9/2001	Boesch 705/41
7,003,494	B2	2/2006	Beach et al.
7,028,886	B1 *	4/2006	Maloney 235/375
2002/0194174	A1	12/2002	Calkins et al.

## (10) Patent No.: US 8,600,789 B1 (45) Date of Patent: Dec. 3, 2013

		Smith 707/1
2003/01/2066 A1* 2004/0107205 A1*		Cooper et al
2005/0108168 A1*		Halpin et al 705/45
2006/0229987 A1	10/2006	I eeklev

#### OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Snow, Bonnie; "Trade Names in Medicine: Searching for Brand Name Comparisons and New Product News"; Database; Jun. 1992.\* Wolff, Thomas E. "Personal Bibliographic Databases: An Industrial Scientist's Perspective"; Database; Apr. 1992.\*

International Search Report and Written Opinion for International Application No. PCT/US07/83314, mailed Sep. 23, 2008, 8 pages.

(Continued)

Primary Examiner — Edward Chang (74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm — Banner & Witcoff, Ltd.; Michael A. Springs

#### (57) ABSTRACT

An offending item detection system is provided for analyzing and processing documents received at one or more capture sites. A physical document may be electronically captured at a capture site and subsequently transmitted to a regional or central processing system. The processing system may then analyze the captured documents to identify and flag suspected offending items such as duplicates. Suspected offending items may be removed from a processing stream and replaced by a substitute transaction to keep the financial system in balance and to reduce potential for processing backlog. In the meantime, the suspected offending item may be analyzed. If the item is a false positive, the substitute transaction may be canceled and the financial document reinserted into the processing stream. If the suspected item is a true offending item, the substitute transaction may be replaced by or converted into another transaction funded by a general suspense account.

#### 24 Claims, 9 Drawing Sheets

